Appl. No. 10/533,001 Amdt. dated March 9, 2009 Reply to Office action of Feb. 18, 2009

## Amendments to the Claims:

This listing of claims will replace all prior versions and listings of claims in the application:

## Listing of Claims:

1

2

3

4 5

6 7

8

10

11

12

1314

1516

17

18 19

20

21

22

Claims 1-35 (canceled)

Claim 36 (currently amended): A dock leveller comprising:

a frame having an extension hinge for pivotal movement along a first axis and a first pivot connection defining a second axis, the respective axes oriented at a first spacing there between;

a deck coupled to the extension hinge having a deck plate with a deck upper surface;

a lip having a lip upper surface, the lip upper surface and the deck upper surface forming a least a part of a transport path;

a lip hinge coupled to the lip and the deck for relative pivotal movement of the respective lip and deck upper surfaces along a third axis that is oriented a second spacing from the first axis;

a second pivot connection operatively coupled to the lip and defining a fourth axis that is oriented with respect to the lip hinge second third axis identical to the first spacing; and

a transmission member pivotally coupled to the first and second pivot connections, establishing an operable spacing between the <a href="third">third</a> <a href="second">second</a> and fourth axes that is identical to the second spacing in at least one mode of operation, so that the four respective axes define vertices of a parallelogram.

Appl. No. 10/533,001

Amdt. dated March 9, 2009

Reply to Office action of Feb. 18, 2009

- 1 Claim 37 (previously presented): The dock leveller recited in
- 2 claim 36 wherein the maximum overall relative pivoting range of
- 3 the respective lip and deck upper surfaces is approximately 14
- 4 degrees.
- 1 Claim 38 (previously presented): The dock leveller of claim 36,
- wherein the deck upper surface operative pivoting range relative
- 3 to the platform is no more than approximately 7.5 degrees.
- 1 Claim 39 (previously presented): The dock leveller recited in
- 2 claim 36 wherein the stiff element comprises a variable length
- 3 element.
- 1 Claim 40 (previously presented): The dock leveller recited in
- 2 claim 39 wherein the variable length element comprises a
- 3 pressurized fluid cylinder.
- 1 Claim 41 (previously presented): The dock leveller recited in
- 2 claim 36 wherein the lip hinge comprises an elastically deformable
- 3 material.
- 1 Claim 42 (previously presented): The dock leveller recited in
- 2 claim 36 wherein the extension hinge comprises an elastically
- 3 deformable material.
- Claim 43 (withdrawn): A method for operating a dock leveller that
- 2 is coupled to a platform, wherein the dock leveller has a deck
- 3 pivotally coupled thereto, the deck having a deck upper surface
- 4 that defines a range of pivotal motion relative to the platform,
- 5 a lip having a lip upper surface, the lip pivotally coupled to
- 6 the deck for relative pivotal movement of the respective lip and
- deck upper surfaces, the lip upper surface and the deck upper

Appl. No. 10/533,001 Amdt. dated March 9, 2009 Reply to Office action of Feb. 18, 2009

surface forming a least a part of a transport path with at least a portion of the platform; and a transmission member coupled to the lip for orienting the lip upper surface, the method comprising:

pivoting the deck plate relative to the platform and manipulating the transmission member for maintaining a generally constant lip upper surface orientation angle with respect to said portion of the platform throughout the deck upper surface pivotal range.

- 1 Claim 44 (withdrawn): The method of claim 43 further comprising
- 2 additional transmission member manipulation for selective
- 3 repositioning of the lip upper surface relative to the deck upper
- 4 surface.

12

13

14

15

16

- Claim 45 (withdrawn): The method of claim 43, wherein the maximum
- 2 overall relative pivoting range of the respective lip and deck
- 3 upper surfaces is approximately 14 degrees.
- 1 Claim 46 (withdrawn): The method of claim 43, wherein the deck
- 2 upper surface operative pivoting range relative to the platform is
- 3 no more than approximately 7.5 degrees.
- 1 Claim 47 (withdrawn): The method of claim 43, further comprising
- declinating the deck upper surface relative to the platform, so
- 3 that the deck plate is capable of establishing a downwardly
- 4 sloping transport path from the platform to the lip upper surface.
- Claim 48 (withdrawn): The method of claim 43, wherein at least a
- 2 part of the platform within the transport path is generally
- 3 horizontal and the lip upper surface orientation relative to said

Appl. No. 10/533,001 Amdt. dated March 9, 2009 Reply to Office action of Feb. 18, 2009

4 part of the platform is within 2 degrees throughout the deck upper

5 surface pivotal range.